# CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS FROM EUPHORBIA WALLICHII

WANG Huan<sup>1,2</sup>, ZHANG Xiao-feng<sup>1</sup>, PAN Li<sup>1</sup>, YANG Shu-min<sup>2</sup>, MA Yun-bao<sup>2</sup>, LUO Xiao-dong<sup>2</sup>

North West Plateau Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining Qinghai 810001, China;
 State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany,
 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, China)

Abstract Eleven known compounds were isolated from the roots of *Euphorbia wallichii* for the first time. They were elucidated to be three triterpenoids, -amyrin (1), -amyrin acetate (2) and 3 -acetoxy-lupenol (3), one nor-triterpene peroxide baccatin (4), two caffeic esters (5a, 5b), palmitic acid-2,3-dihydroxy-propanenyl ester (6), palmitic acid (7), scopoletin(8), -sitosterol (9) and daucosterol (10) on the basis of spectral methods. Among them, compound 5a,5b were reported firstly in the spurge family. And the NMR assignments of compounds 5a and 5b were given for the first time.

**Key words** Euphorbia wallichii; Euphorbia; baccatin; caffeic ester; palmitic acid-2, 3-dihydroxy-propanenyl ester

The genus Euphorbia is the largest in the spurge family, comprising about 2000 species. More than 80 of them are distributed in China. Various groups [1~3] had been working on the chemical constituents of this genus, finding many biologically active diterpenes.  $Euphorbia\ w\ allichii$ , a traditional medicinal plant, mainly distributed in Qinghai province, Tibet and Yunnan province of China, has been used by Tibetan of China to cure furuncle, exanthema and cutaneous anthrax. To make full use of this plant, we studied its chemical constituents. From the alcohol extract of the roots of this plant, we have obtained three triterpenoids, -amyrin  $(1^{[4]})$ , -amyrin acetate  $(2^{[4]})$ 

1 Results and discussion

compounds.

Compound 4 gave a molecular formula  $C_{29}\,H_{46}\,O_4$  by EIMS and  $^{13}\,C$  NMR spectrum. The  $^1H$  NMR spectrum showed signals at  $-0.80\sim1.01$  (7-tertiary CH<sub>3</sub>) , 3.04 (1H , d , 9.4 Hz) , 3.78 (1H ,m) ,6.42 (1H , d , 9.0 Hz) , and 6.68 (1H , d , 9.0 Hz) , which was very similar to that of Baccatin  $^{[6]}$ . Thus 4 was assumed to be Baccatin , supported by the HMQC and HMBC spectra (see table 1) . And the  $^{13}C$  NMR data were given (see table 2) .

and 3 -acetoxy-lupenol (3<sup>[5]</sup>), one nor-triterpene

peroxide baccatin (4<sup>[6]</sup>), two caffeic esters (5**a**<sup>[7]</sup>,

 $5\mathbf{b}^{[7]}$ ), palmitic acid-2,3-dihydroxy-propanenyl ester  $(6^{[8]})$ , palmitic acid  $(7^{[9]})$ , scopoletin (8), - sitos-

terol (9) and daucosterol (10). In many reports<sup>[10]</sup>,

4 was used as material to synthesize drugs. And 5a

and 5b were seldom reported. In this paper, we de-

scribe the isolation and structure elucidation of these

收稿日期:2003-01-20 修回日期:2003-05-20

Project supported by the financial support from the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China , Kunming Institute of Botany , Chinese Academy of Sciences , and supported by the Knowledge Innovation Project from the North West Plateat Institute of Biology , Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. CXL Y-2002-7) .

\* Author for correspondence. Tel: 86-871-5223421; E-mail: xdluo @mail.kib.ac.cn

Table 1 The key correlations of HMQC and HMBC for compound 4 (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

Position	н	C	HMBC
1	2.08; 0.91	46.7	C-2, C-3, C-5, C-10
3	3.04	83.9	C-1, C-2, C-4, C-23, C-24
9	1.86	51.2	C-1, C-8, C-10, C-26
12	2.04	41.0	C-11, C-27
15	6.68	131.8	C-8, C-13, C-14, C-17
16	6.42	135.2	C-14, C-17, C-18, C-22
19	1.30; 0.65	40.6	C-17, C-18, C-20, C-21, C-29
21	1.43; 1.31	35.1	C-17, C-20, C-22, C-29
22	1.60	27.5	C-16, C-17, C-20, C-21
23	0.99	28.2	C-3, C-4, C-5, C-24
24	0.80	16.0	C-3, C-4, C-5, C-23
25	0.89	18.4	C-1, C-2, C-5, C-9, C-10
26	1.01	18.0	C-8, C-9, C-14
27	0.95	24.8	C-13, C-14, C-18

Table 2  $^{13}$  C-NMR spectral data for compounds 2 ~ 4 (100 MHz , CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

C	2	3	4
1	38.2 (t)	38.4 (t)	46.7 (t)
2	23.7 (t)	23.7 (t)	69.3 (d)
3	80.9 (d)	81.0 (d)	83.9 (d)
4	37.7 (s)	37.8 (s)	39.2 (s)
5	55.2 (d)	55.4 (d)	55.9 (d)
6	18.2 (t)	18.2 (t)	19.0 (t)
7	32.6 (t)	34.2 (t)	39.0 (t)
8	39.8 (s)	40.9 (s)	43.0 (s)
9	47.5 (d)	50.3 (d)	51.2 (d)
10	36.8 (s)	37.1 (s)	38.9 (s)
11	23.5 (t)	20.9 (t)	19.3 (t)
12	121.6 (d)	25.1 (t)	41.0 (t)
13	145.2 (s)	38.0 (d)	39.7 (s)
14	41.7 (s)	42.8 (s)	87.4 (s)
15	28.4 (t)	27.4 (t)	131.8 (d)
16	26.1 (t)	35.6 (t)	135.2 (d)
17	32.5 (s)	43.0 (s)	77.2 (s)
18	47.2 (d)	48.3 (d)	49.7 (d)
19	46.8 (t)	48.0 (d)	40.6 (t)
20	31.1 (s)	150.9 (s)	30.8 (s)
21	34.7 (t)	29.8 (t)	35.1 (t)
22	37.1 (t)	40.0 (t)	27.5 (t)
23	28.0 (q)	27.9 (q)	28.2 (q)
24	16.7 ( q )	16.5 ( q )	16.0 (q)
25	15.5 (q)	16.2 (q)	18.4 (q)
26	16.8 ( q )	16.0 ( q )	18.0 ( q )
27	25.9 (q)	14.5 ( q )	24.8 (q)
28	26.9 (q)	18.0 (q)	32.9 (q)
29	33.3 (q)	109.3 (t)	23.7 (q)
30	23.6 (q)	19.3 (q)	
31	171.0 (s)	171.0 (s)	
32	21.3 ( q )	21.3 ( q )	

Compound 5a,5b were isolated as a mixture. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR of 5 showed signals for a typical ABX spin sys-6.61 (1H, d, 8.2 Hz), 6.72 (1H, dd, tem at 8. 2 Hz, 2. 1 Hz) and 6. 93 (1H, d, 2. 1 Hz), indicating the presence of three protons with ortho, ortho/ meta and meta coupling, respectively. A trans double bond was presented by signals at 7. 34 ppm with a coupling constant of J = 15.9 Hz. It also showed signals for a methyl (0.68, t, 7.0 Hz), a methylene (1.47, m) and numbers of 1.05 ppm. The above <sup>1</sup>H NMR methylenes at spectra were identical to those of caffeic ester in reference. So it was established as a caffeic ester, which was confirmed by HMQC and HMBC spectra. Furthermore, the EIMS spectrum showed two molecular weight at m/z 460 (28) and 432 (68). Hence 5 was a mixure of Caffeic acid eicosyl ester (5a, C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and Caffeic acid octadecyl ester (5b, C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). According to the relative intensitites of the molecular ions, it was estimated by the method of ref. [11] that 5a and 5b were present in the approximate ratio 1 2.4.

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Apparatus and Plant materials

MS spectra were obtained with a VG Auto Spec-3000 spectrometer, at 70 eV for EI. 1D and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-400 and a DRX-500 MHz spectrometer with TMS as internal standard. And Silica gel  $(200 \sim 300 \text{ mesh})$  for CC and GF254 for analytical TLC were from the Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, P. R. China. *Euphorbia* 

wallichii was collected from Xinghai county, Qinghai province, China, in July 2001. It was identified by Prof. ZHANG Xiao-feng, Northwest Plateau Institute of Biology, Academia Sinica, Xining, Qinghai, P. R. China, where a voucher specimen (No. 1002) was deposited.

#### 2.2 Extraction and Isolation

Air-dried roots (10 kg) were extracted with EtOH (95 %) for four times. After removal of the solvent by evaporation , the residues were suspended in  $\rm H_2O$  and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> for three times. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> fraction was concentrated in vacuo to give 100 g of the residue. The residue was separated repeatedly by chromatography on silica gel column and RP-18 to afford 1 (46 mg) , 2 (3691 mg) , 3 (16 mg) , 4 (30 mg) , 5 (20 mg) , 6 (10 mg) , 7 (20 mg) , 8 (90 mg) , 9 (500 mg) , and 10 (102 mg).

## 3 **Indentification**

**-Amyrin** (1)  $C_{30}$  H<sub>50</sub> O , colorless needles; EIMS m/z 426 [M]<sup>+</sup> (49) , 411 (15) , 257 (12) , 247 (11) , 229 (11) , 218 (100) , 203 (68) , 189 (51) , 176 (29) , 161 (28) , 147 (32) , 135 (57) , 121 (49) , 109 (58) , 95 (68) , 81 (57) , 69 (64) , 55 (50) . These data were identical with those of amyrin<sup>[4]</sup> , and its TLC was identical with an authentic sample.

-Amyrin acetate (2)  $C_{32}H_{52}O_2$ , colorless needles;  ${}^1H$ -NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5. 15 (1H, t, 3.4 Hz, H-12), 4. 47 (1H, m, H-3), 2. 01 (3H, s, H-32); 13C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) see table 2; EIMS m/z 468 [M] $^+$  (22), 453 (6), 257 (6), 229 (3), 218 (100), 203 (55), 189 (25), 135 (27), 121 (28), 107 (39), 95 (38), 81 (36), 69 (54), 55 (36). These data were identical with those of -amyrin acetate<sup>[4]</sup>.

3 **-Acetoxy-lupenol** (3)  $C_{32} H_{52} O_2$ , colorless needles;  $^1H$ -NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4. 66 (1H, d, 2.0 Hz, H-29), 4. 55 (1H, d, 2.0 Hz, H-29), 4. 50 (1H, dd, 7.2, 13.0 Hz, H-3), 2. 02 (3H, s, H-32), 1. 66 (3H, s, H-30);  $^{13}$  C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) see table 2; EIMS m/z 466 [M-2H]  $^+$  (100), 451 (29), 406 (24), 391 (18), 355 (32), 296 (18), 274 (20), 247 (32), 227 (18), 217 (53), 202 (50), 188

(82), 174 (38), 160 (39), 146 (42), 135 (56), 120 (57), 108 (55), 94 (56), 80 (52), 68 (51), 54 (47). These data were identical with those of 3 - acetoxy-lupenol<sup>[5]</sup>.

Baccatin (4) C<sub>29</sub> H<sub>46</sub> O<sub>4</sub>, colorless needles; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.91,2.08 (2H, m, H-1), 3.78 (1H, m, H-2), 3.04 (1H, d, 9.4 Hz, H-3), 0.92 (1H, m, H-5), 1.61 (2H, m, H-6), 1.92 (2H, m, H-7), 1.86 (1H, m, H-9), 1.70 (2H, m, H-11), 2.04 (2H, m, H-12), 6.68 (1H, d, 9.0 Hz, H-15), 6.42 (1H, d, 9.0 Hz, H-16), 1.91 (1H, m, H-18), 0.65, 1.30 (2H, m, H-19), 1.31, 1.43 (2H, m, H-21), 1.60 (2H, m, H-22), 0.99 (3H, s, H-23), 0.80 (3H, s, H-24), 0.89 (3H, s, H-25), 1.01 (3H, s, H-26), 0.95 (3H, s, H-27), 0.90(3H, s, H-28), 0.93(3H, s, H-29); <sup>13</sup> C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) see table 2; EIMS m/z 458 [M]<sup>+</sup> (19), 443 (17), 426 (36), 308 (37), 291 (28), 221 (20), 208 (94), 191 (70), 177 (86), 163 (46), 151 (51), 135 (46), 121 (81), 109 (96), 95 (75), 81 (71), 69 (97), 55 (100). These data were identical with those of baccatin<sup>[6]</sup>.

Caffeic acid eicosyl ester(5a) ( C<sub>29</sub> H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and caffeic acid octadecyl ester (5b) ( C<sub>27</sub> H<sub>44</sub> O<sub>4</sub>) White powders; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (Me<sub>3</sub>OD): 7.34 (1H, d, 15.9) Hz, H-7), 6.93 (1H, d, 2.1 Hz, H-2), 6.72 (1H, dd, 8.2, 2.1 Hz, H-6), 6.61 (1H, d, 8.2 Hz, H-5), 6.05 (1H, d, 15.9 Hz, H-8), 3.94 (2H, t, 6.7 Hz, H-1), 1.47 (2H, m, H-2), 1.05 (br s, H-3 ~ H-n), 0.68 (3H, t, 7.0 Hz, Me);  $^{13}$  C-NMR  $(Me_3OD)$ : 127.6 (C-1), 115.2 (C-2), 146.9 (C-3), 146.9 (C-4), 116.5 (C-5), 122.9 (C-6), 146.7 (C-7), 115.2 (C-8), 169.2 (C-9), 65.5 (C-1), 29.8 (C-2), 27.1 (C-3), 30.4 ~  $30.7 (C-4 \sim C-(n-2))$ , 33.1 (C-(n-1)), 23.7 (C-1)n), 14.5 (Me); EIMS m/z 460 ([M]<sup>+</sup> of 5a) (28), 432 ([M]<sup>+</sup> of 5b) (68), 180 (100), 163(71), 136 (38), 123 (20), 97 (12), 89 (13), 83 (18), 69 (26), 57 (41), 55 (39). These data were identical with those of caffeic esters [7]

Palmitic acid-2,3-dihydroxy-propanenyl ester (6)  $C_{19} H_{38} O_4$ , white powders; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4. 19 (2H, m, H-1), 3. 93 (1H, m, H-2), 3. 64

(2H, m, H-3), 2. 33 (2H, t, 7.6 Hz, H-2), 1. 60 (2H, m, H-3), 1. 24 (24H, br s, H-4 ~ H-15), 0. 85 (3H, t, 6.7 Hz, H-16);  $^{13}$ C-NMR (CDCl3): 65.1 (C-1), 70.2 (C-2), 63.3 (C-3), 174.4 (C-1), 34.1 (C-2), 24.9 (C-3), 29.1 ~ 29.7 (C-4 ~ C-13), 31.9 (C-14), 22.7 (C-15), 14.1 (C-16); EIMS m/z 299 [M-H]  $^+$  (39), 270 (21), 257 (48), 239 (100), 134 (70), 112 (38), 98 (94), 84 (58), 74 (60), 57 (49). These data were identical with those of reference [8].

**Palmitic acid** (7)  $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ , white powders; EIMS m/z 256 [M]  $^+$  (53) , 239 (4) , 221 (4) , 213 (9) , 196 (14) , 185 (22) , 171 (13) , 165 (5) , 157 (10) , 140 (7) , 129 (100) , 115 (23) , 99 (24) , 85 (21) , 73 (57) , 60 (33) , 57 (50). These data were identical with those of reference  $^{[9]}$ .

Scopoletin (8), -sitosterol (9) and daucosterol (10) were respectively indentified by TLC with authentic samples.

#### References

- J IA Zhongjian, DING Yili. New diterpenoids from Euphorbia sieboldiana. Planta Med, 1991, 57:569 ~ 571
- 2 Appendino G, Jakupovic S, Tron GC, et al. Macrocyclic diterpenoids from Euphorbia semiperfoliata. J Nat Prod, 1998, 61:749 ~ 756

- 3 Sutthivaiyakit S, Thapsut M, Prachayasittikul V. Constituents and bioactivity of the tubers of *Euphorbia sessiliflora*. *Phytochemistry*, 2000, 53:947 ~ 950
- 4 ZHONG Haijun, LUO Shide, WANG Huiying, et al. Chemical constituents of Crepis phoenix. Acta Botanica Yunnanica, 1999, 21:531 ~ 534
- 5 Sholichin M, Yamasaki K, Kasai R, et al. <sup>13</sup>C Nuclear magnetic resonance of lupane—type triterpenes lupeol, betulin and betulinic acid. Chem Pharm Bull, 1980, 28: 1006~1008
- 6 Saha B, Naskar DB, Misra DR, et al. Baccatin, a novel nor-triterpene peroxide isolated from Sapium Baccatum roxb. Tetrahedron Letters, 1977, 35:3095 ~ 3098
- 7 Iinuma M, Ohyama M, Tanaka T, et al. Five flavonoid compounds from Echinosophora Koreensis. Phytochemistry, 1993, 33:1241 ~ 1245
- 8 ZHANG Ke, NI Wei, CHEN Chang-xiang. The constituents of Piper boehmeriaefolium var. tonkinense.

  Natural Product Research and Development, 1999, 11

  (1): 44~47
- 9 CAO Zhi-mao, LIU Jing-ming. Chemical constituents of Trichosanthes rosthornii Harms. China Journal of Chinese Material Medica, 1991,16:97~99
- 10 Goswami A, Khastgir HN. *Indian J Chem*, Sect. B, 1980, 19**B**(4):315~316
- Martinez V, Barbera O, Parareda J S, et al. Phenolic and acetylenic metabolites from Artemisia assoana. Phytochemistry, 1987, 26(9): 2619 ~ 2624

## 大果大戟的化学成分

# 王 环<sup>1,2</sup> 张晓峰<sup>1</sup> 潘 莉<sup>1</sup> 杨淑敏<sup>2</sup> 马云保<sup>2</sup> 罗晓东<sup>2\*</sup>

(1. 中国科学院西北高原生物研究所 青海 西宁 810001;

2. 中国科学院昆明植物研究所 植物化学与西部植物资源持续利用国家重点实验室 云南 昆明 650204)

摘 要 从大果大戟的根部首次分离得到 11 个化合物。利用波谱方法鉴定为 -香树素 (1), -香树素 (2), (3) -乙酰化羽扇豆烯醇 (3), (3), (3) -

关键词 大果大戟:大戟属:baccatin;caffeic ester;棕榈酸-1-甘油酯