Journal of
Plant Ecology

VOLUME 6, NUMBER 5,
PAGES 335–348

OCTOBER 2013

doi:10.1093/jpe/rtt038

available online at
www.jpe.oxfordjournals.org

Effect of clear-cutting silviculture on soil respiration in a subtropical forest of China

Yinlei Ma[1], *Yan Geng*[1], *Yuanyuan Huang*[1], *Yue Shi*[1], *Pascal A. Niklaus*[2], *Bernhard Schmid*[2] *and Jin-Sheng He*[1,3,*]

[1] *Department of Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, and Key Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes of the Ministry of Education, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

[2] *Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland*

[3] *Key Laboratory of Adaptation and Evolution of Plateau Biota, Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining 810008, China*

*Correspondence address. Department of Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, 5 Yiheyuan Road, Beijing 100871, China. Tel: +86-10-6275-4404; Fax: +86-10-6275-8684; E-mail: jshe@pku.edu.cn

Abstract

Aims

Clear-cutting is a common forest management practice, especially in subtropical China. However, the potential ecological consequences of clear-cutting remain unclear. In particular, the effect of clear-cutting on soil processes, such as the carbon cycle, has not been quantified in subtropical forests. Here, we investigated the response of soil respiration (Rs) to clear-cutting during a 12-month period in a subtropical forest in eastern China.

Methods

We randomly selected four clear-cut (CC) plots and four corresponding undisturbed forest (UF) plots. Measurements of Rs were made at monthly time points and were combined with continuous climatic measurements in both CC and UF. Daily Rs was estimated by interpolating data with an exponential model dependent on soil temperature. Daily Rs was cumulated to annual Rs estimates.

Important Findings

In the first year after clear-cutting, annual estimates of Rs in CC (508 ± 23 g C m^{-2} yr^{-1}) showed no significant difference to UF plots (480 ± 12 g C m^{-2} yr^{-1}). During the summer, soil temperatures were usually higher, whereas the soil volumetric water content was lower in CC than in UF plots. The long-term effects of clear-cutting on Rs are not significant, although there might be effects during the first several months after clear-cutting. Compared with previous work, this pattern was more pronounced in our subtropical forest than in the temperate and boreal forests that have been studied by others. With aboveground residuals off-site after clear-cutting, our results indicate that the stimulation of increasing root debris, as well as environmental changes, will not lead to a significant increase in Rs. In addition, long-term Rs will not show a significant decrease from the termination of root respiration, and this observation might be because of the influence of fast-growing vegetation after clear-cutting *in situ*.

Keywords: clear-cutting, subtropical forest, soil respiration, soil temperature

Received: 27 November 2012 Revised: 3 July 2013 Accepted: 3 July 2013

INTRODUCTION

Terrestrial ecosystems are one of the earth's most active C reservoirs and thus play an important role in the global C cycle (Falkowski *et al.* 2000). Forests are particularly important ecosystems and contribute substantially to terrestrial C sequestration (Pan *et al.* 2011) by accumulating C in woody biomass and organic matter in mineral soil (Fahey *et al.* 2005; Hamilton *et al.* 2002; Harmon *et al.* 2004). Excluding non-CO_2 fluxes in and out of the ecosystem, the net C balance of a forest can be approximated as the difference between CO_2 assimilation and CO_2 emission.

Anthropogenic disturbance of forest ecosystems, such as deforestation, can induce large net CO_2 emissions (van der Werf *et al.* 2009), both by directly releasing biomass C and by indirect CO_2 emissions from the accelerated decomposition of tree debris and soil organic matter (van der Werf *et al.* 2003). Thus, investigating how human activities, in particular deforestation, affect CO_2 emissions from soils (soil respiration, hereafter abbreviated as Rs) is important to help us understand how soil processes may respond to abrupt environmental change.

Clear-cutting silviculture is an important forest management practice, especially in temperate and subtropical forests in China (van der Werf *et al.* 2009; Zhang 2001). Clear-cutting affects Rs through several mechanisms. First, root respiration, which often contributes around half of soil respiration, will cease (Nakane *et al.* 1983). Second, the removal of aboveground biomass will lower or eliminate the flux of tree photosynthates to soils (Högberg *et al.* 2001) and thus reduce the associated microbial respiration. Third, changes in the spatial and temporal variability in soil temperature and moisture may also affect plant and soil microbial activities and thus alter Rs (Flerchinger *et al.* 1997; Pierson *et al.* 1991). Fourth, clear-cutting may also affect Rs by accelerating turnover rates of detrital and soil carbon pools, including roots, litter, forest floor organic matter, and mineral soil organic matter (Lytle *et al.* 1998). Fifth, the management of clear-cutting, such as whether to remove the fallen debris or how to deal with productive weeds after clear-cutting, may also exert profound influences on Rs (Busse *et al.* 2006; Pumpanen *et al.* 2004). Therefore, predicting changes in Rs in the aftermath of clear-cutting is complicated and no consistent general trend has been found so far (Misson *et al.* 2005).

Subtropical forests in China generally consist of evergreen, broad-leaved species and are dominated by species of the genera *Castanopsis*, *Quercus* and *Schima* (Legendre *et al.* 2009; Li *et al.* 2009; Xiao *et al.* 2006). Moreover, fast-growing coniferous species, such as *Cunninghamia lanceolata* and *Pinus massoniana*, are common plantation species in this region. Most studies that have investigated the effects of clear-cutting forests on Rs have been carried out in coniferous forests of North America and Northern Europe (Bekele *et al.* 2007; Lytle *et al.* 1998; Olsson *et al.* 1996; Piirainen *et al.* 2002; Striegl *et al.* 1998). Only a few studies have addressed clear-cutting effects in subtropical forests (Guo *et al.* 2010), despite substantial differences in C cycling compared with temperate forests (Baldocchi *et al.* 1996; Nakashizuka 1991; Raich *et al.* 2002), and their importance for understanding of the global C cycle (Tang *et al.* 2006; Yi *et al.* 2007).

In 2008, a large collaborative project that addressed the effects of forest biodiversity on the functioning of ecosystem was established in East China (Bruelhelde *et al.* 2011). Before establishing artificial communities with 1–16 tree species, the broad-leaved secondary forest/conifer plantation monoculture of *Cunninghamia lanceolata* at the field site was clear-cut in February/March 2009 abiding by common forestry practice. Here, we investigated the effects of this clear-cutting from May 2009 to May 2010 by extrapolating single time point measurements of Rs to time-integrated measurements with an exponential model that was based on continuously recorded soil temperatures. Our goals were to (i) quantify CO_2 emissions from forest soils over time after clear-cutting; (ii) analyze putative drivers of changes in Rs in different periods after clear-cutting, e.g. soil temperature and moisture; and (iii) compare the response of Rs to clear-cutting in subtropical forests with that in northern coniferous forests, aiming to determine a proper explanation of the clear-cutting effects on both ecosystems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field site and experimental design

The present study was conducted in a subtropical forest in Dexing County, Jiangxi Province, Eastern China (29º08′N, 117º55′E, Fig. 1a). The site is characterized by subtropical monsoon climate with mean annual precipitation (from 1994 to 2008) of approximately 2000 mm yr^{-1} and a mean annual temperature of 15.1°C (Geißler *et al.* 2010). The rainy season lasts from March to June, and sometimes September is also a rainy month. The field site has steep hills with an average slope inclination of 32º and spans an altitudinal range of 80–260 m.a.s.l. The general slope aspect is toward the south with small-scale variation that is caused by several near-parallel north–south ridges (von Oheimb *et al.* 2011). The soils at the field site are mainly Cambisols, which are mixed with Regosols on ridges and crests (Geißler *et al.* 2010).

Before clear-cutting, the study site was covered by a young, secondary, evergreen broad-leaved forest, with a high abundance of deciduous species (Wang *et al.* 2007). The tree species present included the evergreen broad-leaved species *Castanopsis fargesii*, *C. sclerophylla*, *Lithocarpus glaber*, *Schima superba*; the deciduous species *Quercus fabri*, *Liquidambar formosana*, *Sassafras tzumu*, *Styrax dasyanthus*, *Sapium sebiferum*, *Diospyros kaki*; and the coniferous species *Pinus massoniana* and *Cunninghamia lanceolata* (von Oheimb *et al.* 2011).

From February to early March 2009, the 26.6-ha site was clear-cut, and all trees and shrubs were removed from the site until April (Fig. 1b). Starting in April 2009, a forest biodiversity experiment was conducted on the clear-cut area with young trees of 42 species that were planted in a quadratic grid pattern with a 1.29-m distance between individual trees. Additionally, due to the relatively high mortality rates of replanted trees, resowing work was performed in March 2010. For our study, four pairs of plots with an area of 100 m^2 each were established along the border of the clear-cut area in early May 2009. One of the plots in each pair was located within the clear-cut and replanted area (CC), whereas the other plot was in the adjacent undisturbed forest (UF; Table 1). The aspect and inclination of each plot were measured using a compass. To standardize the effect of replanting, plot locations without fast-growing trees were selected. In fact, due to the relatively high mortality rate of replanted trees in the first year, the effects of the replanted trees were quite limited during the experimental period. Weeds were removed in May and September 2009 by manually cutting aboveground herbs and woody plants except the planted ones.



Figure 1: location (**a**) and the landscape of the experimental site (**b**). The study area is in Jiangxi Province, East China. The picture of the landscape was taken in May 2009, 1 month after the completion of clear-cutting.

Table 1: site characteristics and soil properties for CC and UF plots at the end of the experiment

	CC plots (n = 4)	UF plots (n =4)
Altitude (m)	168–204	169–268
Inclination (°)	15–45	5–30
Soil organic C (%)		
0–5 cm	2.83 (0.13)	3.04 (0.08)
5–10 cm	1.83 (0.15)	1.92 (0.08)
10–20 cm	1.46 (0.12)	1.38 (0.19)
Soil total N (%)		
0–5 cm	0.24 (0.02)	0.24 (0.02)
5–10 cm	0.16 (0.02)	0.16 (0.01)
10–20 cm	0.11 (0.02)	0.12 (0.02)
Soil pH		
0–5 cm	6.22 (0.15)	6.19 (0.14)
5–10 cm	6.24 (0.13)	6.23 (0.10)
10–20 cm	6.14 (0.16)	6.25 (0.08)

Standard errors of means are given in parentheses.

Rs measurements

Soil respiration (Rs) was measured using a closed chamber that was connected to a portable infrared gas analyzer (LI-8100; Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE). In each of the eight plots, eight chamber collars with a diameter of 20 cm and a height of 8 cm were installed along a transect with 1 m distance between collars. The chamber collars were permanently inserted 3 cm into the soil, thus protruding 5 cm above the ground. The first Rs measurement was carried out at least 1 day after the installation of collars. From May 2009 to May 2010, Rs was measured once per month. These measurements were carried out three times per plot, at random hours during the daytime. Once per season, 24-hour period respiration measurements were conducted at intervals of 2 hours.

Soil and air temperature and moisture

The soil temperature was measured at 5 cm depth using a thermometer probe that was connected to the portable soil respiration system. The soil temperature was measured simultaneously with the soil respiration measurement. Meanwhile, soil volumetric water content (SVWC) of the upper 10 cm was measured gravimetrically. In addition, six automatic data loggers were installed in three plot pairs and recorded the soil and air temperature and moisture at 30-minute intervals (EM50 data logger; Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA): (i) a single combined air temperature/humidity sensor with a radiation shield was installed at a height of 1.5 m in the middle of each of the six plots; (ii) three combined soil moisture/temperature sensors were installed at 5 cm, 10 cm and 20 cm soil depths in the middle of each of the six plots (ECH$_2$O soil moisture/temperature probes; Decagon Devices, Inc.). Precipitation was recorded by a weather station located in the middle of the entire clear-cut site.

Soil organic carbon and soil acidity

Soils cores with four replicates in each plot were collected in September 2010 and were divided into 0–5 cm, 5–10 cm and 10–20 cm depth sections. Total C and N concentrations were determined in these sections by an elemental analyzer (PE 2400 II CHN elemental analyzer; Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA). Soil pH was measured in the same samples by suspending fresh soil in deionized water at a 1:2.5 ratio (using 10 g subsamples of soil sieved through a 2-mm mesh). Each suspension was allowed to stand for 30 minutes, and then the pH was measured potentiometrically (Table 1).

Statistical analysis

Rs data were analyzed using linear mixed-effects models with plot, collar, month, and collar × months as random effects, and clear-cutting treatment, sine-transformed time since clear-cutting, and the interaction between the two as fixed effects. Measurements of Rs were log-transformed prior to analysis to meet the requirements of normal distribution and homoscedasticity. For this dependent variable, soil temperature measured by the thermometer probe that was connected to the portable soil respiration system was used as the covariate in an additional analysis. To check the responses of Rs in different periods after clear-cutting, we divided the whole experimental period into three periods: (i) first period, with increasing temperatures, starting 1 month after the clear-cutting and ending in the middle of summer 2009; (ii) second period, with decreasing temperatures, running from late summer to the beginning of winter in 2009; (iii) third period, with increasing temperatures, running from the beginning of 2010 until the end of May 2010. Mixed models were both built for the entire experimental period and for the first period for comparison. Considering the low replication at plot level and thus the relatively weak statistical power of the test for clear-cutting effects, we also report marginal significance (P < 0.1; see Toft and Shea, 1983, for justification).

Modeled soil respiration

Modeled Soil respiration (Rsm) was calculated using the exponential equation given by Lloyd *et al.* (1994),

$$\mathrm{Rsm} = R_{10}e^{E_0\left(\frac{1}{10-T_0}-\frac{1}{T-T_0}\right)} = R_{10}e^{E_0\left(\frac{1}{56.02}-\frac{1}{T-T_0}\right)} \quad (1)$$

where R_{10} is Rsm at 10°C, T_0 and E_0 are two parameters that define the temperature dependency of soil respiration. To facilitate the convergence of the non-linear regression procedure that was used to fit R_{10}, T_0 and E_0, we first estimated R_{10} and E_0 while fixing T_0 to –46.02°C as proposed by Lloyd *et al.* (1994). We then restarted the non-linear regression using the solutions that were found for R_{10} and E_0; however, this time we also left T_0 free. For each plot in each of the three periods, we estimated the parameters separately using the corresponding measured Rs and soil temperature. The model fits were then based on hourly soil temperatures recorded by the automatic data loggers at a depth of 5 cm. Hourly Rsm values were modeled over the temperature range that was calibrated in equation (1). Daily Rsm values were calculated using hourly Rsm for each day.

The relative temperature sensitivity (RTS) of Rsm was also calculated as previously described by Hamilton *et al.* (2002):

$$RTS = \left(\frac{1}{f_{(T)}} \times \frac{df_{(T)}}{dT} \right) = \frac{E_0}{(T - T_0)^2} \quad (2)$$

For each period, RTS was also calculated separately.

Annual Rsm was estimated for each plot by calculating daily sums of Rsm using equation (1). The daily Rsm was then further summed to obtain seasonal and annual estimates of Rsm. Due to the lack of soil temperature records before July 2009, we used soil temperature data from the meteorological station in the middle of the clear-cut area. The corresponding soil temperatures in UF were predicted by comparing the soil temperature data in CC and UF in 2010.

All analyses were conducted using R 2.12.1 (The R Core Team 2011) and GenStat (VSN International, Hempstead, UK).

RESULTS

Microclimates in CC and UF

During the study period, the annual precipitation was 1225 mm in 2009, approximately half as much as the 2493 mm of annual precipitation in 2010, showing a strong inter-annual fluctuation (Fig. 2a). Over the study period, the air temperature, soil temperature and SVWC all showed no significant differences between the CC and UF plots (*t*-tests). For both treatments, air temperature averaged 19.1°C. Mean soil temperature at 5 cm depth was 19.3°C, and mean SVWC was 28.6% (Fig. 2b–d). However, in the summer of 2009 the mean soil temperature at 5 cm depth was 2.6°C in the CC plots and was 2.6°C higher than the mean soil temperature in the UF plots (*t*-test, $P < 0.05$), whereas the SVWC was approximately 6.7% lower (*t*-test, $P < 0.05$). In the summer of 2010, the mean soil temperature in the CC plots was 3.1°C higher than the mean soil temperature in the UF plots (*t*-test, $P < 0.05$), but the SVWC showed no difference. In the winter, neither soil temperature nor SVWC differed significantly between the CC and UF plots.

Soil respiration

During the 1-year experimental period, clear-cutting by itself did not show any significant effect on Rs in the linear mixed-model analysis. Rs averaged 0.94 µmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1} in CC plots and 0.99 µmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1} in UF plots (Table 2a). This observation was due to the very large and consistent variation in Rs between individual collars within plots (variance component 0.063 ± 0.013). However, when Rs measurements were first corrected for different soil temperatures as measured by the thermometer probe that was connected to the portable soil respiration system, clear-cutting led to a marginally significant decrease in soil respiration, averaging 0.89 µmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1} in CC plots and 1.06 µmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1} in UF plots ($P = 0.08$; Table 2b), suggesting that the similar values for uncorrected Rs measurements were due to higher soil temperatures in CC plots. The soil temperature as measured by the thermometer probe connected to the portable soil respiration system was significantly higher in CC than in UF plots (20.1°C vs. 17.1°C; $P = 0.003$; Table 3a).

According to the linear mixed-model analysis, clear-cutting by itself showed no significant effect on Rs during the first several months. However, the interaction between clear-cutting and month was still marginally significant ($P = 0.072$; Table 2c), suggesting that there indeed might have been a time-dependent effect of clear-cutting on Rs in this period. This interactive effect disappeared when correcting for soil temperature in the statistical model (Table 2d), suggesting that the detected interaction was caused by effects on temperature. Again, soil temperature as measured by the thermometer probe connected to the portable soil respiration system was significantly higher in CC than in UF plots (27.4°C vs. 23.7°C; $P = 0.007$; Table 3b).

In both the analysis of annual Rs and Rs in the first 4 months, the effects of the different collars and months were significant. In other words, there was considerable variation between collars within plot, whereas the temporal dynamics within collars was quite predictable (i.e. the individual measurements were not associated with a large random error).

Rsm modeling

During the whole experiment, mean Rs across four plots ranged from 0.19 ± 0.09 (mean ± SD) to 2.73 ± 0.98 µmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1} in CC and 0.30 ± 0.10 to 2.07 ± 0.81 µmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1} in UF. Rs showed a strong seasonal trend in both CC and UF plots (Fig. 3a). Parameters in Table 4 were used to estimate Rsm for individual plots during each period. Meanwhile, the differences in Rsm between two treatments were also calculated using modeled respiration values (Fig. 3b). As in the above results, Rsm in CC and UF plots showed changing trends during the first period after clear-cutting, whereas in the long term, we assumed that Rsm in CC plots would eventually be lower than in UF plots. The annual cumulative C emission after clear-cutting was 508 ± 23 g C m^{-2} yr^{-1} in CC plots and 480 ± 12 g C m^{-2} yr^{-1} in UF plots. No significant difference was detected (Fig. 3c).

RTS of Rsm

The RTS of soil respiration as a function of soil temperature was established separately for the three periods using equation (2) and the parameters shown in Table 4 (Fig. 4). To compare the intrinsic temperature sensitivity in CC and UF plots during different times, we further tested the difference of mean RTS from 0°C to 40°C in CC and UF plots. During the first period when the temperature increased from the completion of clear-cutting in 2009 until the summer of 2009, temperature sensitivity in CC plots (0.069°C^{-1}) was slightly higher than in UF plots (0.050°C^{-1}; *t*-test, $P < 0.1$), whereas



Figure 2: rainfall (**a**), air temperature (**b**), SVWC (**c**) and soil temperature (**d**) at soil depth of 0–5 cm during the experimental period from March 2009 to November 2010. Rainfall was recorded by an on-site climate station. Red solid lines represent CC; black solid lines represent UF. SVWC was recorded by Decagon ECH$_2$O sensors with data logger EM50. In Fig. 2b–d, shadow parts represent the error bar that show the standard error for each line.

during the second period the temperature sensitivity was not different between treatments. For the last period, when the temperature in 2010 started to increase again until the summer of 2010, the temperature sensitivity in CC plots (0.067°C^{-1}) was slightly lower than in UF plots (0.100°C^{-1}; *t*-tests, $P < 0.05$).

Table 2: results of mixed-model analyses for soil respiration with and without correction for soil temperature during the entire experimental period and period one (May to September, 2009)

(a) Rs uncorrected for soil temperature during the whole experimental period:

Random terms	v.c.			Standard error of v.c.	
Plots (8-level factor)	0.0120			0.0163	
Collars within plots (8-level factor)	0.0627			0.0130	
Months (12-level factor)	0.0282			0.0182	
Collars within plots × Months	0.0660			0.0138	
Fixed terms	**Wald statistic**	**n.d.f.**	***F*-value**	**d.d.f.**	***P***
Treatment (CC vs. UF)	0.31	1	0.31	5.9	0.60
Sine-transformed months (variate)	89.69	1	89.69	10.0	<0.001
Treatment × sine tr. months	1.11	1	1.11	56.3	0.3

(b) Rs corrected for soil temperature during the whole experimental period:

Random terms	v.c.			Standard error of v.c.	
Plots (8-level factor)	0.0122			0.0151	
Collars within plots (8-level factor)	0.0619			0.0128	
Months (12-level factor)	0.0149			0.0110	
Collars within plots × Months	0.0465			0.0102	
Fixed terms	**Wald statistic**	**n.d.f.**	***F*-value**	**d.d.f.**	***P***
Temperature (covariate)	174.50	1	174.50	613.7	<0.001
Treatment (CC vs. UF)	4.42	1	4.42	6.2	0.08
Sine-transformed months (variate)	18.75	1	18.75	31.5	<0.001
Treatment × sine-transformed months	0.11	1	0.11	56.7	0.75

(c) Rs uncorrected for soil temperature during period one (May to September, 2009):

Random terms	v.c.			Standard error of v.c.	
Plots (8-level factor)	0.0025			0.0114	
Collars within plots (8-level factor)	0.0647			0.0133	
Collars within plots × Months	0.0185			0.0088	
Fixed terms	**Wald statistic**	**n.d.f.**	***F*-value**	**d.d.f.**	***P***
Treatment (CC vs. UF)	0.22	1	0.22	5.9	0.65
Sine-transformed months (variate)	22.84	1	22.84	11.1	<0.001
Treatment × sine-transformed months	3.95	1	3.95	11.1	0.07

(d) Rs corrected for soil temperature during period one (May to September, 2009):

Random terms	v.c.			Standard error of v.c.	
Plots (8-level factor)	0.0050			0.0130	
Collars within plots (8-level factor)	0.0646			0.0133	
Collars within plots × Months	0.0182			0.0088	
Fixed terms	**Wald statistic**	**n.d.f.**	***F*-value**	**d.d.f.**	***P***
Temperature (covariate)	23.90	1	23.90	266.3	<0.001
Treatment (CC vs. UF)	0.58	1	0.58	6.8	0.5
Sine-transformed months (variate)	5.38	1	5.38	21.9	0.030
Treatment × sine-transformed months	2.73	1	2.73	11.1	0.13

Variance components that are about twice the size of their standard errors can be considered significant. Abbreviations: v.c. = variance component, n.d.f. = nominator degree of freedom, d.d.f. = denominator degree of freedom.

Table 3: results of mixed-model analyses for soil temperature during the entire experimental period and period one (May to September, 2009)

(a) Soil temperature during the whole experimental period:					
Random terms		v.c.		Standard error of v.c.	
Plots (8-level factor)		0.127		0.384	
Collars within plots (8-level factor)		0.007		0.015	
Months (12-level factor)		2.971		1.740	
Collars within plots × Months		4.762		0.917	
Fixed terms	Wald statistic	n.d.f.	*F*-value	d.d.f.	*P*
Treatment (CC vs. UF)	23.79	1	23.79	5.9	0.003
Sine-transformed months (variate)	131.15	1	131.15	9.7	<0.001
Treatment × sine-transformed months	4.72	1	4.72	56.9	0.034
(b) Soil temperature during period one (May to September, 2009):					
Random terms		v.c.		Standard error of v.c.	
Plots (8-level factor)		−0.0514		0.9012	
Collars within plots (8-level factor)		0.0003		0.0244	
Collars within plots × Months		3.3250		1.4501	
Fixed terms	Wald statistic	n.d.f.	*F*-value	d.d.f.	*P*
Treatment (CC vs. UF)	18.47	1	18.47	5.3	0.007
Sine-transformed months (variate)	44.52	1	44.52	11.8	<0.001
Treatment × sine tr. months	2.09	1	2.09	11.8	0.18

Variance components that are about twice the size of their standard errors can be considered significant. Abbreviations: v.c. = variance component, n.d.f. = nominator degree of freedom, d.d.f. = denominator degree of freedom.

DISCUSSION

Effects of clear-cutting on temperature and soil moisture

In our study, clear-cutting increased soil temperature in the summer but no corresponding effects were found in the winter. We argue that this effect occurs because in summer solar radiation dominates the soil temperature (Hashimoto *et al.* 2004), with clear-cutting eliminating the shading effect of the forest canopy (Flerchinger *et al.* 1997). It has been reported that the effect of leaf shading on soil temperature is evident (Kang *et al.* 2000). In contrast, winter soil temperatures are mainly controlled by the release of latent and sensible heat from the soil surface (Hashimoto *et al.* 2004). Therefore, in the winter the effects of solar radiation and forest canopy are not as strong as in the summer. Furthermore, deciduous species were relatively abundant in the studied forests, and leaf shedding before winter may also have reduced the effect of clear-cutting. Increased soil temperatures as a consequence of clear-cutting have also been reported by Carlson *et al.* (1997), Hashimoto *et al.* (2004) and Pennock *et al.* (1997).

In contrast, clear-cutting decreased the summer SVWC in the first year. This result may be partly because the SVWC is indirectly determined to an extent by soil temperature (Breshears *et al.* 1998). Therefore, increased summer soil temperature after clear-cutting would result in a lower SVWC in CC than in UF. However, the difference of summer SVWC between two treatments disappeared in 2010. We assume that this disappearance may be because of the growth of trees that were replanted in March 2010; consequently, the differences between CC plots and UF plots were reduced. Interestingly, Covington (1981) and Redding *et al.* (2003) reported increased soil moisture in CC plots, which were probably due to higher transpiration rates in UF plots compared with CC plots. In our study, continuous high precipitation limited the water sorption by roots in UF; thus, the reduction of SVWC that was caused by root absorption in UF is not significant (D'Odorico *et al.* 2007).

Effect of clear-cutting on soil respiration

In general, cumulated annual soil CO_2 emissions were not significantly affected by clear-cutting. However, this observation may not be simply illustrated as no influence because we did observe an effect of clear-cutting on Rs changing over time during the first 4 months. However, this effect disappeared when we tested for the entire experimental year. It has been extensively accepted that Rs is mainly comprised of



Figure 3: soil respiration measured at CC and UF plots together with soil respiration, which was modeled from 2009 to 2010 using a flexible exponential equation at two sites (**a**); the increasing amount of soil respiration calculated using modeled soil respiration rates (**b**); and the cumulative CO_2 flux calculated from modeled soil respiration rates through the whole experimental period (**c**). In Fig. 3a, empty red circles refer to observed soil respiration and solid red lines represent modeled soil respiration at CC; empty black circles refer to observed soil respiration and solid black lines represent modeled soil respiration at UF. In all the three subfigures, shadow parts represent the error bar that show the standard error for each line.

root respiration and microbial respiration (Kuzyakov 2006). Root respiration usually ceases a short time after clear-cutting (Ekblad *et al.* 2001; Högberg *et al.* 2001; Nakane *et al.* 1983). Following this sudden decrease of Rs is the decomposition of dead roots and aboveground residuals under optimum temperatures, which consequently enhances microbial

Table 4: fitted parameters (standard errors in parentheses) for modeled soil respiration ($Rsm = R_{10}e^{E_0\left(\frac{1}{283.15-T_0}-\frac{1}{T-T_0}\right)}$) in CC and UF plots

	Temperature increasing period in 2009		Temperature decreasing period in 2009		Temperature increasing period in 2010	
Dates	May–August, 2009		September–January, 2010		February–May, 2010	
Plot	CC	UF	CC	UF	CC	UF
R_{10} (μmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1})	0.377 (0.017)	0.353 (0.017)	0.327 (0.041)	0.366 (0.020)	0.307 (0.020)	0.380 (0.040)
E_0 (K)	312.6 (2.8)	281.3 (8.6)	303.5 (20.7)	286.2 (11.3)	313.7 (16.4)*	432.0 (11.8)
T_0 (°C)	−50.4 (0.5)	−60.7 (3.5)	−65.3 (7.1)	−59.5 (2.8)	−53.4 (2.0)	−49.1 (0.5)

Data are shown for the three periods defined in the text.
*Significant difference between two treatments.

respiration (Cortez 1998; Davidson *et al.* 2006; Hartley *et al.* 2008; Kirschbaum 1995) and thus offsets the decline in root respiration (Ohashi *et al.* 2000). In this study, because all the aboveground residuals are carried off-site, root decomposition is of utmost importance when considering the increase in microbial respiration. Here, our results indicate that, for the initial 4 months (120 days), clear-cutting affected Rs differently over time. Considering that soil temperature and RTS in CC plots are consistently higher than in UF plots during this period, decomposition dynamics of the roots may be one main reason for the changing effect on Rs. A previous study found that roots were decomposed at different rates in different periods (Arunachalam *et al.* 1996).

However, the root decomposition becomes negligible typically after 6 months (Arunachalam *et al.* 1996). In our study, after the first period, microbial respiration should slow down to its rate before clear-cutting and progressively decline, which would result in a decline in total Rs (Raich *et al.* 2000). However, such a decline does not occur during the experimental period, suggesting that there should be some other influencing factors. Although our results show a higher soil temperature in CC than UF plots during the third period, the corresponding lower RTS in CC plots indicates that a second increase in microbial respiration may not occur (Abbott and Crossley 1982; Binkley 1984). Meanwhile, the lower RTS in CC plots may also suggest a significant decline in roots (Boone *et al.* 1998; Davidson *et al.* 2006), verifying the termination of excessive decomposition. Therefore, we suggest that during these periods the decline in root respiration is actually offset by the Rs of newly growing weeds and some other plants. In this study, weed residuals were not carried off-site, which may in turn enhance the microbial respiration in CC plots. Another possible reason why the response of Rs in the second year was different from the first year may be that after the first stimulating process of higher soil temperature, microbial respiration became acclimated to a higher soil temperature such that RTS decreased although the decomposition process actually continued (Luo *et al.* 2001). Nonetheless, it is necessary to examine the effect of clear-cutting on Rs during different periods, instead of looking at the whole process.

Similar patterns have been reported among the limited number of studies that tested the clear-cutting effect on Rs in subtropical forests. For example, Guo *et al.* (2010) found that clear-cutting increased Rs for the first 3 months immediately after treatment; however, for the subsequent 2 years the Rs in CC plots fell below that of UF plots. Felix Ponder (2005) also measured Rs after clear-cutting in a hardwood forest in Missouri. Although Rs did not change for the first 2 months immediately after treatment, for the subsequent several months the Rs in CC decreased below UF, which also indicated a staged response of Rs to clear-cutting. Such results confirmed the time-dependent effect of clear-cutting on Rs. However, considering that for different ecosystems the percentage of root respiration is considerably different (Pumpanen *et al.* 2004), it is reasonable that discrepancies exist among different studies for the first several months.

Effects of clear-cutting on Rs in different ecosystems

Most previous studies were conducted in northern coniferous forests, which showed highly variable responses of Rs to clear-cutting (Kim 2008; Lytle *et al.* 1998; Startsev *et al.* 1998; Striegl *et al.* 1998; Zu *et al.* 2009). As illustrated in the second part of discussion, clear-cutting affects Rs through several processes that are related to changes in soil microclimates, substrate availability and also microbial activity (Deluca *et al.* 2000). Such processes may differ among regions (Kirschbaum 1995) and also forest types (Cortez 1998; Prescott *et al.* 2000). Generally, subtropical forests are characterized by high annual average temperatures and large variations in temperature (Hashimoto *et al.* 2004) compared with temperate forests and boreal forests where mean annual temperatures are relatively low and variations in temperature are small (Bowden *et al.* 2004; Davidson *et al.* 1998). In boreal forests, there even exists a long period when the soil temperature drops below 0°C (Rayment *et al.* 2000). The general response of Rs to clear-cutting could be significantly influenced by soil temperature, and the sensitivity of soil to temperature changes also varies among different



Figure 4: RTS as a function of soil temperature: (**a**) temperature-increasing period from May to August 2009, (**b**) temperature-decreasing period from September 2009 to January 2010 and (**c**) temperature-increasing period from February to May 2010. Red lines refer to CC; black lines represent UF. In all the three subfigures, shadow parts represent the error bar that show the standard error for each line.

regions (Kirschbaum 2000). Therefore, temperature may impact Rs after clear-cutting through different ways in different forest types: (1) Clear-cutting elevated soil temperature and enhanced soil temperature sensitivity, so that microbial respiration increases and lasts for a long time because soil temperature does not vary significantly, such as in subtropical forests. In this case, Rs may show an increasing trend in the observation period after clear-cutting. (2) Clear-cutting raises soil temperature and soil temperature sensitivity; however, other influencing factors may inhibit the stimulation effect, such as a lower soil surface SVWC caused by clear-cutting in some regions like coniferous forests (Prescott 1997). In this case, soil respiration may be restrained. Moreover, the fast-growing weeds after clear-cutting might also be an important factor in our study because in northern forests the growth rates of weeds are usually lower than in subtropical forests and may consequently have less influence on Rs. To summarize, many factors such as soil temperature, SVWC and substrate availability, as well as other unknown factors, may exert an influence on Rs (Cortez 1998; Giardina *et al.* 2000; Holland *et al.* 2000; Kirschbaum 1995); thus, there have been inconsistent results in different forest ecosystems.

In addition, the time interval between clear-cutting and the start of investigation may also influence the observed pattern of Rs. Many studies began recording Rs half a year or 1 year after clear-cutting (Kim 2008; Startsev *et al.* 1998; Striegl *et al.* 1998), when Rs had already attained a steady state even if a stimulation period existed in such ecosystems at the beginning of clear-cutting. In contrast, Guo *et al.* (2010) and our study provided a relatively short time interval between the study and clear-cutting so that a staged pattern of Rs change was observed.

Limitations of the current study

In the present study, we only have four blocks, which may not be sufficient to eliminate the heterogeneity of sites. Meanwhile, from the results of mixed-effect models, the small-scale heterogeneity in Rs among collars was large. According to previous studies, the distribution of plant roots, leaf litters, the depth of soil organic horizon and the distance of measuring point from trees, all lead to Rs heterogeneity (Scott-Denton *et al.* 2003; Stoyan *et al.* 2000; Tang and Baldocchi 2005). Moreover, the dependence of Rs on different environmental factors also varies among different spatial scales (Reichstein *et al.* 2008). Therefore, more study plots in the future studies should be established, and furthermore, determining how to estimate Rs with proper models including the environmental factors at small scales may be of utmost importance. In addition, more studies are required to further understand the whole subtropical forest by a comparison with temperate forests and boreal forests (Adachi *et al.* 2005). With respect to the temporal scale, this experiment lasted only 1 year. Considering that 2009 was a particularly dry year, the results may be partly influenced by the abnormal climate conditions; therefore, an additional year-long study is necessary to further confirm the conclusions. Additionally, the measuring interval of this study was 1 month, which may not be sufficient to describe all the changing processes of Rs. In that case, a continuous Rs measuring technique will improve the model of soil respiration and will offer a more precise prediction of the total emission CO_2 flux, especially for long-term observation (Tang *et al.* 2005).

CONCLUSIONS

Rs in the subtropical forest in Xingangshan showed an obvious seasonal trend in both CC and UF plots, in accordance with variation of soil temperature at the two sites. Temperature is the main factor influencing Rs rates in both CC and UF plots. In the short term, clear-cutting has a significant influence on Rs changing over time due to the uncertainty of root decomposition within several months after clear-cutting; however, in the long term, clear-cutting has no significant effect on soil respiration due to the balance between reduction of root respiration and the increase in Rs from fast-growing weeds and other plants. Moreover, soil temperature sensitivity increases during the first several months after clear-cutting and decreases gradually afterwards, eventually dropping to a lower level than before clear-cutting. Comparing with studies that have been carried out in other regions and forest types, we suggest that the response of Rs to clear-cutting might be influenced by different factors among different forest types.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the EU 7th FP Project BACCARA (Contract No. 226299), the MOST Sino-EU Cooperation Program (Contract No. Sino-EU 0916) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31025005 and 31021001).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Michael Scherer-Lorenzen for the assistance with experimental design, Christian Geißler for the project-owned climate station data, and Yann Salmon, Xin Jing, for the constructive suggestions during the data analysis.
Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

Abbott DT, Crossley J (1982) Woody litter decomposition following clear-cutting. *Ecology* **63**:35–42.

Adachi M, Bekku YS, Konuma A, *et al.* (2005) Required sample size for estimating soil respiration rates in large areas of two tropical forests and of two types of plantation in Malaysia. *For Ecol Manag* **210**:455–9.

Arunachalam A, Pandey HN, Tripathi RS, *et al.* (1996) Fine root decomposition and nutrient mineralication patterns in a subtropical humid forest following tree cutting. *For Ecol Manag* **86**:141–50.

Baldocchi DD, Vogel CA (1996) Energy and CO_2 flux densities above and below a temperate broad-leaved forest and a boreal pine forest. *Tree Physiol* **16**:5–16.

Bekele A, Kellman L, Beltrami H (2007) Soil profile CO_2 concentrations in forested and clear cut sites in Nova Scotia, Canada. *For Ecol Manag* **242**:587–97.

Binkley D (1984) Dose forest removal increase rates of decomposition and nitrogen release? *For Ecol Manag* **8**:229–33.

Boone RD, Nadelhoffer KJ, Canary JD, *et al.* (1998) Roots exert a strong influnce on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration. *Nature* **396**:570–2.

Bowden RD, Davidson E, Savage K, *et al.* (2004) Chronic nitrogen additions reduce total soil respiration and microbial respiration in temperate forest soils at the harvard forest. *For Ecol Manag* **196**:43–56.

Breshears DD, Nyhan JW, Heil CE, *et al.* (1998) Effects of woody plants on microclimate in a semiarid woodland: soil temperature and evaporation in canopy and intercanopy patches. *Int J Plant Sci* **159**:1010–7.

Bruelhelde H, Bohnke M, Both S, *et al.* (2011) Community assembly during secondary forest succession in a chinese subtropical forest. *Ecol Monogr* **81**:25–41.

Busse MD, Beattie SE, Powers RF, *et al.* (2006) Microbial community responses in forest mineral soil to compaction, organic matter removal, and vegetation control. *Can J For Res* **36**:577–88.

Carlson DW, Groot A (1997) Microclimate of clear-cut, forest interior, and small openings in trembling aspen forest. *Agr For Meteorol* **87**:313–29.

Cortez J (1998) Field decomposition of leaf litters: relationships between decomposition rates and soil moisture, soil temperature and eathworm activity. *Soil Biol Biochem* **30**:783–93.

Covington WW (1981) Changes in forest floor organic matter and nutrient content following clear cutting in northern hardwoods. *Ecology* **62**:41–8.

D'Odorico P, Caylor K, Okin GS, *et al.* (2007) On soil moisture–vegetation feedbacks and their possible effects on the dynamics of dryland ecosystems. *J Geophys Res* doi:10.1029/2006JG000379.

Davidson EA, Belk E, Boone RD (1998) Soil water content and temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperature mixed hardwood forest. *Glob Change Biol* **4**:217–27.

Davidson EA, Janssens IA (2006) Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature* **440**:165–73.

Deluca TH, Zouhar KL (2000) Effect of selection harvest and prescribed fire on the soil nitrogen status of ponderosa pine forests. *For Ecol Manag* **138**:263–71.

Ekblad A, Högberg P (2001) Natural abundance of ^{13}C in CO_2 respired from forest soils reveals speed of link between tree photosynthesis and root respiration. *Oecologia* **127**:305–8.

Fahey TJ, Siccama TG, Driscoll CT, *et al.* (2005) The biogeochemistry of carbon at hubbard brook. *Biogeochemistry* **75**:109–76.

Falkowski P, Scholes RJ, Boyle E, *et al.* (2000) The global carbon cycle: a test of our knowledge of earth as a system. *Science* **290**:291–6.

Felix Ponder J (2005) Effect of soil compaction and biomass removal on soil CO_2 efflux in a Missouri forest. *Commun Soil Sci Plan* **36**:1301–11.

Flerchinger GN, Pierson FB (1997) Modelling plant canopy effects on variability of soil temperature and water: model calibration and validation. *J Arid Environ* **35**:641–53.

Geißler C, Kühn P, Böhnke M, *et al.* (2010) Splash erosion potential under tree canopies in subtropical se china. *Catena* **91**:85–93.

Giardina CP, Ryan MG (2000) Evidence that decomposition rates of organic carbon in mineral soil do not vary with temperature. *Nature* **404**:858–61.

Guo J, Yang Y, Chen G, *et al.* (2010) Effects of clear-cutting and slash burning on soil respiration in Chinese fir and evergreen broad-leaved forests in mid-subtropical China. *Plant Soil* **333**:249–61.

Hamilton J, Finzi A, DeLucia E, *et al.* (2002) Forest carbon balance under elevated CO_2. *Oecologia* **131**:250–60.

Harmon M, Bible K, Ryan M, *et al.* (2004) Production, respiration, and overall carbon balance in an old-growth *Pseudotsuga-Tsuga* forest ecosystem. *Ecosystems* **8**:498–512.

Hartley IP, Ineson P (2008) Substrate quality and the temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition. *Soil Biol Biochem* **40**:1567–74.

Hashimoto S, Suzuki M (2004) The impact of forest clear-cutting on soil temperature: a comparison between before and after cutting, and between clear-cut and control sites. *J For Res* **9**:125–32.

Högberg P, Nordgren A, Buchmann N, *et al.* (2001) Large-scale forest girdling shows that current photosynthesis drives soil respiration. *Nature* **411**:789–92.

Holland E, Neff J, Townsen A, *et al.* (2000) Uncertaintiesin the temperature sensitivity of decompositionin tropical and subtropical ecosystems: implications for models. *Global Biogeochem Cy* **14**:1137–51.

Nakane K, Yamamoto M, Tsubota H (1983) Estimation of root respiraion rate in a mature forest ecosystem. *Jpn J Ecol* **33**:397–408

Kang S, Kim S, Oh S, *et al.* (2000) Predicting spatial and temporal patterns of soil temperature based on topography, surface cover and air temperature. *For Ecol Manag* **136**:173–84.

Kim C (2008) Soil CO_2 efflux in clear-cut and uncut red pine (*Pinus densiflora* s. Et z.) stands in Korea. *For Ecol Manag* **255**:3318–21.

Kirschbaum MUF (1995) The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition, and the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage. *Soil Biol Biochem* **27**:753–60.

Kirschbaum MUF (2000) Will changes in soil organic carbon act as a positive or negative feedback on global warming? *Biogeochemistry* **48**:21–51.

Kuzyakov Y (2006) Sources of CO_2 efflux from soil and review of partitioning methods. *Soil Biol Biochem* **38**:425–48

Legendre P, Mi X, Ren H, *et al.* (2009) Partitioning beta diversity in a subtropical broad-leaved forest of China. *Ecology* **90**:663–74.

Li L, Huang Z, Ye W, *et al.* (2009) Spatial distributions of tree species in a subtropical forest of China. *Oikos* **118**:495–502.

Lloyd J, Taylor JA (1994) On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. *Funct Ecol* **8**:315–23.

Luo Y, Wan S, Hui D, *et al.* (2001) Acclimatization of soil respiration to warming in a tall grass prairie. *Nature* **413**:622–5.

Lytle DE, Cronan CS (1998) Comparative soil CO_2 evolution, litter decay, and root dynamics in clearcut and uncut spruce-fir forest. *For Ecol Manag* **103**:121–8.

Misson L, Tang J, Xu M, *et al.* (2005) Influences of recovery from clear-cut, climate variability, and thinning on the carbon balance of a young ponderosa pine plantation. *Agr For Meteorol* **130**:207–22.

Nakashizuka T (1991) Population dynamics of coniferous and broad-leaved trees in a Japanese temperate mixed forest. *J Veg Sci* **2**:413–8.

Ohashi M, Gyokusen K, Saito A (2000) Contribution of root respiration to total soil respiration in a Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don) artificial forest. *Ecol Res* **15**:323–33.

Olsson BA, Staaf HK, Lundkvist H, *et al.* (1996) Carbon and nitrogen in coniferous forest soils after clear-felling and harvests of different in tensity. *For Ecol Manag* **82**:19–32.

Pan Y, Birdsey RA, Fang J, *et al.* (2011) A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science* **333**:988–93.

Pennock DJ, Kessel CV (1997) Clear-cut forest harvest impacts on soil quality indicators in the mixedwood forest of Saskatchewan, Canada. *Geoderma* **75**:13–32.

Pierson FB, Wight JR (1991) Variability of near-surface soil temperature on sagebrush rangeland. *J Range Manag* **44**:491–7.

Piirainen S, Finer L, Mannerkoski H, *et al.* (2002) Effects of forest clear-cutting on the carbon and nitrogen fluxes through podzolic soil horizons. *Plant Soil* **239**:301–11.

Prescott CE (1997) Effects of clearcutting and alternative silvicultural systems on rates of decomposition and nitrogen mineralization in a coastal montane coniferous forest. *For Ecol Manag* **95**:253–60.

Prescott CE, Blevins LL, Staley CL (2000) Effects of clear-cutting on decomposition rates of litter and forest floor in forests of British Columbia. *Can J Bot* **30**:1751–7.

Pumpanen J, Westman CJ, Ilvesniemi H (2004) Soil CO_2 efflux from a podzolic forest soil before and after forest clear-cutting and site preparation. *Boreal Environ Res* **9**:199–212.

Raich JW, Potter CS, Bhagawati D (2002) Interannual variability in global soil respiration, 1980–94. *Glob Change Biol* **8**:800–12.

Raich JW, Tufekcioglu A (2000) Vegetation and soil respiration: correlations and controls. *Biogeochemistry* **48**:71–90.

Rayment MB, Jarvis PG (2000) Temporal and spatial variation of soil CO_2 efflux in a Canadian boreal forest. *Soil Biol Biochem* **32**:35–45.

R Development Core Team (2011) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Redding TE, Hope GD, Fortin M-J, *et al.* (2003) Spatial patterns of soil temperature and moisture across subalpine forest-clearcut edges in the southern interior of British Columbia. *Can J Soil Sci* **83**:121–30.

Reichstein M, Beer C (2008) Soil respiration across scales: the importance of a model–data integration framework for data interpretation. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci* **171**:344–54.

Scott-Denton L, Sparks K, Monson R (2003) Spatial and temporal controls of soil respiration rate in a high-elevation, subalpine forest. *Soil Biol Biochem* **35**:525–34.

Startsev NA, McNabb DH, Startsev AD (1998) Soil biological activity in recent clearcuts in west-central Alberta. *Can J Soil Sci* **78**:69–76.

Stoyan H, De-Polli H, Böhm S, *et al.* (2000) Spatial heterogeneity of soil respiration and related properties at the plant scale. *Plant Soil* **222**:203–14.

Striegl RG, Wickland KP (1998) Effects of a clear-cut harvest on soil respiration in a jack pine-lichen woodland. *Can J For Res* **28**:534–9.

Tang J, Baldocchi DD (2005) Spatial–temporal variation in soil respiration in an oak–grass savanna ecosystem in California and its partitioning into autotrophic and heterotrophic components. *Biogeochemistry* **73**:183–207.

Tang J, Misson L, Gershenson A, *et al.* (2005) Continuous measurements of soil respiration with and without roots in a ponderosa pine plantation in the Sierra Nevada Mountains. *Agr For Meteorol* **132**:212–27.

Tang X, Liu S, Zhou G, *et al.* (2006) Soil-atmospheric exchange of CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O in three subtropical forest ecosystems in southern China. *Glob Change Biol* **12**:546–60.

Toft CA, Shea PJ (1983) Statistical power analysis and community-wide patterns. *Amer Nat* **122**:618–25.

van der Werf GR, Morton DC, DeFries RS, *et al.* (2009) CO_2 emissions from forest loss. *Nat Geosci* **2**:737–8.

van der Werf GR, Randerson JT, Collatz GJ, *et al.* (2003) Carbon emissions from fires in tropical and subtropical ecosystems. *Glob Change Biol* **9**:547–62.

von Oheimb G, Lang AC, Bruelheide H, *et al.* (2011) Individual-tree radial growth in a subtropical broad-leaved forest: the role of local neighbourhood competition. *For Ecol Manag* **261**:499–507.

Wang X-H, Kent M, Fang X-F (2007) Evergreen broad-leaved forest in eastern china: its ecology and conservation and the importance of resprouting in forest restoration. *For Ecol Manag* **245**:76–87.

Xiao Z, Wang Y, Harris M, *et al.* (2006) Spatial and temporal variation of seed predation and removal of sympatric large-seeded species in relation to innate seed traits in a subtropical forest, southwest china. *For Ecol Manag* **222**:46–54.

Yi Z, Fu S, Yi W, *et al.* (2007) Partitioning soil respiration of subtropical forests with different successional stages in south China. *For Ecol Manag* **243**:178–86.

Zhang Y (2001) Deforestation and forest transition: theory and evidence in China. *World Forests* **2**:41–65.

Zu Y-G, Wang W-J, Wang H-M, *et al.* (2009) Soil CO_2 efflux, carbon dynamics, and change in thermal conditions from contrasting clear-cut sites during natural restoration and uncut larch forests in northeastern China. *Clim Change* **96**:137–59.